

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,364	09/12/2003	Eric Meyerhofer	51091/RAG/F392	6757	
5514 75	590 02/23/2006	EXAMINER			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			CULLER, JILL E		
30 ROCKEFEI	LLER PLAZA		ADTIBUT	DA DED MUMBED	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
		DATE MAIL ED: 02/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,364		MEYERHOFER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jill E. Culler	2854	

	Jill E. Culler	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ecteu ciaims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ⁻¹ 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 ²	* * *	mpliant Amendment ((DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant Amendment ((F10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	·	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 6, 9, 29, 32, 36/29 and 36/32. Claim(s) objected to:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 8, 10, 12-13, 24-28, 31, 33-35, 36/3</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-23</u> .	24-28, 36/31 and 36/33-35.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	TOT THE STATES OF THE CIAMITS AREI CI	illy is below of allacin	ieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
	al	- Halle	
	ANDR	REW H. HIRSHFELD	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additionI claim limitation that the thermal write head writes to thermally reactive material raises a new issue that requires further consideration and search..